Single Bench

(1) (5)

CF 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5009 Of 2006

PETITIONER : Sheshnath Pandey ,aged about 59 years S/o Late Shri Ambika Pandey , Head Master District Institute of Education and Training (DIET) , Jagdalpur District- Bastar, resident of 118/1 Vidhya Vihar , Jagdalpur District Bastar (CG).

P.R. No. 50 18/06 Rakesh Anjhan 14 8/9/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1.The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary , Tribal Welfare Department , Mantralay , D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG).

RECEIVED-COPY 8/9/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Commissioner , Tribal Development Department , University Campus Raipur (CG).

3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Jagdalpur District Bastar (CG).

4. Joint Director, Treasury and Account , Bastar Division , Jagdalpur District Bastar (CG).

5. Assistant Director, Treasury Accounts and Pension , Jagdalpur District Bastar (CG).

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA Bilaspur Chhattisgarh 2468/06

(2) (6)

6. Principal, District Institute of Education and Training (DIET) Jagdalpur District Bastar (CG).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(58)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

W. P.(s) No. 5008 of 2006

Petitioner : Banmali Lal Raikwar

Versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P.(s) No. 5009 of 2006

Petitioner : Sheshnath Pandey

Versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P.(s) No. 5010 of 2006

Petitioner : Madhusudan Baghel

Versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh & others

And

W. P.(s) No. 5011 of 2006

Petitioner : Ashok Kumar Sharma

Versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh & others

Shri Rakesh Anthony, Advocate for the petitioners.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(13th September, 2006)

The following order of the Court is passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

59

1. The facts involved in W. P. (s) No. 5008/2006, W. P. (s) No. 5009/2006, W. P. (s) No. 5010/2006, W. P. (s) No. 5011/2006 is identical and the question of law involved is also the same. Thus, these writ petitions are being disposed of by common order.
2. The petitioners are Upper Division Teacher. In the course of their services, the petitioners have obtained B. Ed Examination Certificate, whereby they were granted two advance increments.
3. The case of the petitioners is that two advance increments so granted have not been taken into consideration while fixing the salary of the petitioners.
4. Smt. Anju Ahuja, learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State submits that in the event it is found that two advance increments paid to the petitioners have not been considered for fixing the salary, the same will be done.
5. For the aforesaid reasons, the petitioners are directed to make appropriate representation, if so advised, before the concerned authorities and the concerned authorities shall consider the same and pass the appropriate order, within a period of four weeks from the receipt of a copy of the representation.
6. With the aforementioned observations, these writ petitions stands disposed of. No order as to costs.
7. A copy of this order be placed on record of other three connected matters.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu